

CRM-M-29888-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29888-2016

Date of Decision: 19.09.2016

Inderdeep Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate and
Ms. Navreet Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny Saggar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.78 dated 17.07.2016, registered at Police Station Sudhar, Ludhiana, under Sections 307, 326, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and have gone

CRM-M-29888-2016

through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner has been named in the FIR. He was stated to be armed with sword. Specific injury, caused with sword, has been attributed to the present petitioner. Two injuries have been declared dangerous to life.

Keeping in view the fact that the weapon i.e. sword is yet to be recovered, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required. Otherwise also, in view of nature and gravity of the offence, the present petitioner is not entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

19.09.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No