

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)

CRM-M-29853-2016

Decided on: October 19, 2016.

Jagpreet Singh @ Lalla

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashan Preet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner is alleged to have inflicted injury on the person of Manvir Singh @ Paindo deceased as per the cross-version got recorded at the instance of Sewa Singh.

On asking of the Court, it has been informed that none of the prosecution witness in the cross-version stands examined though challan in the main case as well as in the cross-version has already been presented. The original FIR in the case was registered at the instance of Jagir Singh under Section 307 IPC against Gurbhej Singh and Manvir Singh @ Paindo.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being involved in cross-version case and his father Jagir Singh having registered the original FIR against Gurbhej Singh and Manvir Singh @ Paindo, the petitioner can be granted the concession of bail.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that till date none of the witness from either side has been examined.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, the bail application appears to be too early especially when the main prosecution witness Sewa Singh and other witnesses are yet to be examined.

The petition is dismissed at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition for bail after the decision of application under Section 319 Cr.P.C and cross-examination of Sewa Singh thereafter.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 19, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No