

CRM-M No.29831 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.09.2016

..... Petitioner

versus

..... Respondent

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the co-accused Virsa Singh was granted bail on account of Section 167 (2)

Cr.P.C. and even though the petitioner did not move an application yet it was for the Court to have granted him this benefit.

Learned Deputy Advocate is not in a position to deny this.

In the circumstances I see no reason to take a different view.

Consequently, I grant bail to the petitioner in terms of the Section 167 (2) Cr.P.C.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

28.09.2016
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No