

Sr. No. 205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-2980 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.05.2016

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.159 dated 22.09.2015, under Section 420/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 10.02.2016:-

“Counsel for the petitioner would advert to the documents placed on record at Annexures P-2, P-3 and P-4 to contend that father of the petitioner in partnership with Amrik Singh is running a firm under the name and style of M/s Future First which is duly registered and it was in furtherance of the business conducted by such firm that a multiple journey visa (tourist visa) was

arranged for the complainant for a visit to Singapore and even hotel bookings were done for such purpose. It is further submitted that the complainant had duly visited Singapore based on such documents/bookings on 19.04.2015 and had returned to India in the first week of May, 2015. It is contended that the present FIR has been lodged after a delay of almost 05 months raising false accusations and just to recover the cost incurred on the trip to Singapore.

List on 09.05.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Harbans Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

Under such circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be warranted.

Petition is allowed. Order dated 10.02.2016, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

09.05.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**