

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29782 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.09.2016

Amanpreet Singh @ Sardool Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.120 dated 24.08.2015, registered under Sections 17, 18 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (for short 'the NDPS') and Section 3 PDPP Act, at Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as he did not stop his vehicle on the signal given by the police and sole recovery of Rs.4 lacs has been effected from his vehicle which he has duly explained that he had obtained a loan from Sekhon Trading Company, New Anaj Mandi, Kalanwali. The petitioner has been shown to be prospective buyer of the contraband from co-accused, Prithvi Singh from whom the alleged

substance has been recovered. The similarly situated co-accused, Harnam Singh has already been admitted to bail. The petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2015 and co-accused, Harnam Singh has already been admitted to bail, no useful purpose would be achieved in keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.09.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No