

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29753-2016

Date of decision: August 31, 2016.

Ashok Kumar @ Makhan @ Malhi

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri K.S. Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions, states that in two cases, the trial is almost complete and in the rest of the cases, trial would be completed in the near future.

At any rate, what I find is that there were recoveries made by the police from the petitioner in relation to the property. The petitioner is the snatcher of the property. In view of the nature of the offence, namely chain snatching, which in my opinion is a menace to the society, the petitioner does not deserve any relief.

Dismissed. None of the observations will have any bearing on the merits of the case while deciding the trial.

The trial be expedited and completed within six months.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

August 31, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No