

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-28925 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision: February 23, 2016.

Jatinder Singh and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J.** (Oral):

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 23.5.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by Additional Director General of Police, Security, Punjab, Chandigarh vide which, after comprehensive threat assessment, it was found that security at the State expenses is not warranted to be provided to the petitioner on the basis of the current threat perception.

Heard.

It comes out that there is a history of litigation between the parties. The property dispute is also there. The State has carried out the threat assessment and found no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, the petitioners insist that the land mafia is out to grab their land and the petitioners are being threatened and not allowed to cultivate the land.

In these circumstances, present petition is disposed of with a direction to SHO, Police Station Sadar Ludhiana to ensure that police patrol

party takes round of village Jhand, where the petitioners are residing, to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners. Even then, if the petitioners have got any specific threat from any body, it shall always be open to them to move the concerned SHO or Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana seeking appropriate relief.

The petition stands disposed of.

February 23, 2016.  
*kadyan*

**[ Kuldip Singh ]**  
**Judge**