

CRM-M-29688-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29688-2016

Date of Decision: 09.09.2016

Daljit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Angel Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikash Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.111 dated 08.06.2016, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar, under Section 61-1-14 of Excise Act and Sections 271, 273, 420, 468, 471, 482, 483, 485 and 486 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the police received a secret information that a truck bearing registration No.HR-58-A-5077, loaded with

CRM-M-29688-2016

two-three types of empty boxes of English and countrymade liquor and machines of fixing caps and labels of countrymade and English liquor, is parked inside the boundary constructed near the turn, situated at Sahpur-Bilaspur Road and the liquor after filling in the bottles would be supplied. When the raid was conducted, the truck bearing registration No.HR 58-A-5077, loaded with 480 cardboard boxes containing quarters of English liquor make 'Yes Goa', 40 cardboard boxes containing English liquor bottles of make 'Rock in Storm', three plastic bags containing little sized lids, two plastic bags containing lids of Mc Dowel whisky, one bag containing pamphlets of Mc Dowel No.1 XXX RUM and one box containing labels of 'Dhanno', countrymade liquor, was found there. Other equipment and materials were also found to be kept to affix stickers and labels on the bottles and quarters. As per the prosecution version, the registered owner of the truck namely Jaswinder Singh had sold the truck to one Karnail Singh, who subsequently sold this truck to the present petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

09.09.2016
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No