

**CRM-M-29687-2016,
CRM-M-30464-2016 and
CRM-M-30467-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:18.10.2016

1. CRM-M-29687-2016

Sunita

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-30464-2016

Meenu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

3. CRM-M-30467-2016

Neelam

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S.Thind, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all the petitions.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

**CRM-M-29687-2016,
CRM-M-30464-2016 and
CRM-M-30467-2016**

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-29687-2016, filed by petitioner-Sunita, CRM-M-30464-2016, filed by petitioner-Meenu and CRM-M-30467-2016, filed by petitioner-Neelam, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.168 dated 04.08.2016, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bhiwani, District Bhiwani, under Sections 186, 332 and 353 read with Section 34 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the allegations in the FIR, the present petitioners, who are ladies, wanted to meet the Deputy Superintendent of Police. Complainant-Sarita, who is a lady constable, asked the petitioners to wait for sometime, but they did not agree, rather they pushed the complainant by saying as who was she to stop them. It is also the allegation that official dresses of complainant-Sarita and Lady Constable Munni were also torn and the present petitioners gave beatings to both of them.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 26.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-29687-2016 and dated 31.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-30464-2016 and 30467-2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation, as stated. They are now not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to

**CRM-M-29687-2016,
CRM-M-30464-2016 and
CRM-M-30467-2016**

be recovered from them.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 26.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-29687-2016 and dated 31.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-30464-2016 and 30467-2016, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

18.10.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No