

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-29619 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.09.2016

July @ Juli

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 375 dated 13.04.2016, registered under Sections 294, 326, 506 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station City Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner being the sister in-law of the complainant has been falsely implicated in the present case on account of family dispute. In fact the complainant's party attacked upon the family of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the investigation is going on.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused

the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2016; the challan is yet to be presented, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No