

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29591-2016

Date of Decision: September 19, 2016

Naveen @ TT

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate, for
Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional A.G., Haryana.

.....

M.M.S. BEDI, J (Oral)

This is the fourth application for grant of bail to the petitioner after recording the statements of the injured and the complainant.

After going through the statements of the injured, namely Vinod, and the complainant, namely Birmati, stating that on account of darkness, they did not identify the assailants and that the accused present in the Court were not the persons, who had assaulted or fired at him, the petitioner can be granted the

concession of bail.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 19, 2016
apurva

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No