

**CRM-M-29569-2016**

**[1]**

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**(103)**

**CRM-M-29569-2016**

**Date of Decision:-26.08.2016**

Surinder Kumar @ Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

**A.B. Chaudhari, J.** (Oral):-

Rule.

Heard forthwith.

It is not necessary to Issue notice of motion to respondent No.2. The petitioner is accused in a private complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act. He was declared proclaimed person by order dated 08.01.2016. Thereafter, he applied for grant of anticipatory bail, which has been rejected by the learned Sessions Judge. The reasons for non-appearance of the petitioner was that he did not know about the pendency of the case. That apart, learned counsel for the petitioner states that by now, the compromise has taken place between the parties and, therefore, no useful purpose can be served by allowing the existence of this order.

In that view of the matter, order dated 08.01.2016 passed by

Pankaj Kakkar  
2016.08.27 14:41  
I attest to the accuracy and  
integrity of this document

Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Jalandhar is quashed and set aside.

The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail for offence under Section 174-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860, as he was declared proclaimed person, to the satisfaction of the trial Judge.

Disposed of.

**August 26, 2016**  
Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)**  
**Judge**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>Whether reportable</b>        | <b>Yes/No</b> |