

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.29521 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 08.09.2016

Lakhwinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. C.S.Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 02.09.2016 the following order was passed :-

“This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.19 dated 01.05.2016 registered under Sections 324, 325, 326, 323, 308, 148, 149 IPC at P.S. Kalanaur, Gurdaspur.

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:

'After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that he does not wish to press this petition qua petitioner No.4 but liberty

may be granted to him to surrender before the Court below and move an application for regular bail and a direction may be issued to the Court to decide the said application expeditiously.

I find this to be a fair request. Let the petitioner No.4 surrender before the Court below on or before 05.09.2016 and move an application for regular bail which shall be decided expeditiously by the Court but in any case on or before 08.09.2016.'

Learned Addl. A.G on instructions from ASI Manjeet Singh states that in any case till such time the petitioner no. 4 surrenders it would not be appropriate to grant relief to the petitioner Nos 1 to 3.

In the circumstances, the matter is adjourned to 08.09.2016.

In the meantime, in the event of the arrest of petitioner Nos. 1 to 3 he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of IO subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.4 has surrendered and the petitioners No.1, 2 and 3 have joined the investigation.

Learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions from

ASI Gurmukh Singh has accepted this fact.

Consequently, the petition qua petitioners No.1, 2 and 3 stands allowed. Interim order dated 02.09.2016 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

08.09.2016

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No