

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29517-2016
Decided on: 09.11.2016

Sandeep Parocha and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, D.A.G. Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No. 42 dated 21.01.2012, under Sections 406/498-A/506/323-IPC, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Karnal (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 28.07.2016 (Annexure P-3).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of statement made by respondent No.2-(complainant) Preeti against the accused-petitioner No.1 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with petitioner No.1 Sandeep Parocha on 15.02.2010 according to Hindu Rites. Dowry articles were entrusted to petitioners beyond their capacity. At the outset of the marriage, they started misbehaving with respondent No.2 rudely on account of bringing insufficient dowry. The accused-petitioner and his family members oftenly taunted respondent No.2 for bringing inadequate dowry articles and they played a fraud by concealing the fact that petitioner No.1 was a drug addict. All the accused persons threatened the complainant to face dire

consequences and openly demanded that in case the respondent No.2 wants to live in their house, she has to fulfill their demand of Rs.2 lacs. Thereafter, the mother of the complainant brought her to her parental house in Karnal. Respondent No.2 and her mother has made so many efforts, but all the accused persons have refused her to allow at her matrimonial home. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons of the locality, the matter has now been resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.2 vide compromise dated 28.07.2016 (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 26.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, has been received in this regard. As per report, Preeti-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 07.10.2016 that she has compromised the matter with the accused without any pressure, coercion or fear. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of Sandeep Parocha-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 42 dated 21.01.2012, under Sections 406/498-A/506/323-IPC, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Karnal, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

09.11.2016

Dinesh

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No