

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29487 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.10.2016

Kewal Singh Mann and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjab Pollution Control Board

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate for the respondent.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in a complaint case No.175-2 dated 23.12.2013, registered under Section 39 read with Section 19(5) of Air Act, 1981.

The learned counsel for the petitioners refers to the photocopy of passport of the petitioners to contend that the petitioners never visited India and the land is on lease with Amarjit Singh son of Mohinder Singh, however, the affidavit has not come on record.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondent opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Allegedly, the petitioners put up the paddy residue on fire despite specific ban in this regard by the State of Punjab, resulting in extensive air pollution in the area. The crime allegedly is against society.

Dismissed.

However, in case the petitioners surrender before the competent Court within six weeks from today and files regular bail application, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

04.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No