

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CRM-M-29435-2016

Decided on: October 18, 2016.

Poonam Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Though there are serious allegations against the petitioner that in the capacity as Clerk in the Office of Drug Controller, he demanded money from the complainant as illegal gratification to renew the drug licence of the complainant. He has been involved in the case on the basis of conversation between the complainant and the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose application for bail submitting that the licence of the complainant has not been renewed only on account of money having not been paid through the petitioner.

On the instructions of Inspector Kartar Singh, it has been informed that the petitioner has joined the investigation. It is not a case of recovery of any money having been received by the petitioner pursuant to the demand.

In view of said circumstances, the petitioner can be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioner, he will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the conditions that he will join investigation as and when required and will not indulge in similar activity of which he is accused of, during the entire period of trial. In case, the petitioner indulges in similar activity, it will be open to the prosecution agency or the complainant to seek cancellation of bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 18, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No