

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(113)

CRM-M-29269-2016

Decided on: August 24, 2016.

Iqbal Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner has allegedly got threat to his life and liberty from his mother, sister and maternal uncle on account of a dispute regarding a shop which was run by the father of the petitioner who died in April, 2016.

The petitioner has filed a suit for permanent injunction against the above said persons. Copy of the plaint has been appended as Annexure P-5. He has been granted order of status quo by the civil Court on his application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC. It is claimed by the petitioner in his representation to Commissioner of Police, Amritsar Annexure P-7 that he is unnecessarily harassed by the police by calling him to the police station. The dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents, is pending before the Civil Court. He has already obtained an order of interim injunction which he can always implement in accordance with law.

I do not find any ground to issue any direction in view of the family affairs reflected in the petition and the other documents appended to it.

Notice to Advocate General, Punjab.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy given.

In the interest of justice, this petition is disposed of with an observation that in case there is a reasonable ground for intervention by the State to prevent breach of peace, it will always be open to the petitioner or the respondents to approach the competent authority. It will be obligatory for the State in said circumstances to ensure the prevention of breach of peace by adopting the legal procedure.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 24, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No