

224 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-8842 of 2006
DECIDED ON: MAY 19, 2016**

SAHIL SATI

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Ms. Maninder Kaur, Advocate for
 Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Sullar, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Special Public Prosecutor
for respondent-CBI.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to trace out the husband of the petitioner, who is alleged to have been illegally detained by respondents No.4 to 8 and for registration of FIR under Section 302/120-B/34/363 IPC against respondents No.4 to 8 as well as entrusting the investigation either to CBI or to

some other independent agency.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-CBI has submitted that after the completion of inquiry untraced report has already been furnished in the Court of learned Jurisdictional Magistrate, CBI Court, Patiala in which complaint has already appeared and now the proceedings before the said Court are listed for 21.05.2016.

3. Petitioner is already participating in the proceedings. So, in view of submission of untraced report, instant petition has rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

4. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to her under law.

MAY 19, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE