

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32198-2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 4, 2016

Chhota Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from SI Sumit Singh of State Crime Branch, Rohtak, submits that thrice the matter has been inquired into and no incriminating material has been found against the alleged accused, and, as such, the

police is not likely to take further action.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioner to take recourse before learned Area Judicial Magistrate, in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 4, 2016
Pkapoor