

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-29144 of 2016
Date of Decision: 05.12.2016**

Anju Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 44 dated 20.5.2016, registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC and Section 3 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, Police Station GRP Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 1.9.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

There is a contradiction in what was stated by the complainant.

There is no reference to the visit by the petitioner to her house a day earlier in the suicide note.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 1.9.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

December 05, 2016
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No