

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29118 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.08.2016

Rashika and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 & 5.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to take necessary action on the

representation dated 18.08.2016 (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

22.08.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No