

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRL. MISC. No.M-29096 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 8th NOVEMBER, 2016

Rameshwar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. No.M-29818 OF 2016 (O&M)

Nanak Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. No.M-31432 OF 2016 (O&M)

Rambir

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate
 for petitioner-Rameshwar.

 Mr. J. P. Jangu, Advocate
 for the petitioners-Nanak Ram & Rambir.

 Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 438

Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.285 dated 26.11.2015

registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 324 & 341 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Khol, District Rewari.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioners were granted interim bail by this court vide separate orders dated 22.08.2016, 26.08.2016 & 06.09.2016 respectively.

Perusal of FIR shows that except Nanak Ram, Rameshwar and Rambir alleged to have given injuries with iron pipes. Insofar as injury on the food, which is attributed to Nanak Ram, is concerned, the allegation is that there is fracture on the foot due to the injury by means of Kulhari.

Looking to the allegation that all three had given blows on the food, prima facie, in my opinion, the order granting interim bail deserves to be confirmed.

In that view of the matter interim orders dated 22.08.2016, 26.08.2016 & 06.09.2016 are made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

8th NOVEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.