

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29065 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 31, 2016

Parshotam Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner-Parshotam Dass in this regular bail application are that the Police apprehended from the truck bearing No.RJ09GC1145 while ferrying 14336 bottles of liquor of different brands in which the petitioner was found sitting. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that there is nothing to link the petitioner with the commission of offence and mere taking a lift in the truck does not bestow upon him any culpability as to the conscious possession of the articles in question when admittedly the State is of the stand that the articles belong to Harpreet Singh and that the co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 11.8.2016.

Though, on behalf of the State the application is opposed on the ground that petitioner happens to be an employee of the principal accused and thus is not entitled to any relief.

Keeping in view that the co-accused of the petitioner Inayat Khan and Bhikha Bhai Mansuri have already been granted bail vide order dated 11.08.2016 of this Court and that the culpability, if any, of the petitioner would be determined at the time of final decision of the matter and that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 31, 2016
aarti

Whether speaking or reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No