

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-2905 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 29, 2016**

Dwarka Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this Court vide order dated 11.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed in CRM-M No.38134 of 2014 passed the following direction:

“In these circumstances, a direction is issued to the Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to look into the matter and assess the threat perception to the petitioner and his family members from private respondent Nos.4 to 11 and take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty and also ensure that they are not coerced to enter into the compromise with the accused.”

It is stated that in pursuant to the said order, protection was granted to the present petitioner. Later on, the petitioner was booked in a case under the Arms Act, 1959. Now, the said protection has been withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he had got registered FIR bearing No.392, dated 30.07.2014, under Sections

148, 149, 302, 301, 435 IPC at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal against respondent Nos.4 to 11 for committing murder of his nephew namely Ved Prakash. He further disclosed that in the said murder case, challan has been presented and the petitioner has been examined. It is contended that the uncle of the petitioner made representation 15.01.2016 (Annexure P-4) before the Superintendent of Police, Palwal, for granting protection to the petitioner but no action has been taken on the same.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Palwal to consider the representation dated 15.01.2016 (Annexure P-4) and pass necessary order after considering the facts, circumstances and threat perception to the petitioner.

January 29, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE