

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-29033 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.10.2016

Gursewak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 127 dated 13.06.2016, registered under Section 409 IPC, at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is not involved in any other FIR and is in custody since 14.06.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that the challan stands

presented; out of total 10 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.10.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No