

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29023-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.11.2016

Dilbagh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Shanker Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.28 dated 31.03.2016, registered under Sections 326 and 452 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Tarsikka, Distt. Amritsar.

Heard.

On 08.09.2016, the following order was passed:-

“CRM-27742-43 of 2016

Applications are allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-3 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-29023-2016

Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-3 which is MLR of the Dilbag Singh i.e. father of the petitioner who was given two injuries by the complainant on 15.08.2016. Subsequently, present FIR was lodged. The injury attributed to the petitioner is on non-vital part.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai,

ATUL SETHI
2016.11.09 17:43
I hereby certify the
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 09.11.2016.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

09.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No