

CRM-M-29006-2016

Date of Decision : 31.08.2016

Sukhdev Singh @ Sukha

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner has been in custody with effect from 12.10.2015 in a case of recovery of 95 kg of poppy husk. Petitioner along with driver of the vehicle was arrested from the spot whereas two other occupants of the car escaped from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused has been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that petitioner cannot be treated at par with his co-accused who was not arrested from the spot. In view of commercial quantity of poppy husk, having been recovered, no ground is made out to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

However, taking into consideration the period of detention

suffered by the petitioner, it is directed that trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to record the entire evidence within a period of 4 to 5 months and conclude the trial within a period of six months. In case, for any reason, the trial is not concluded within the above said period, after the next date of hearing, the trial Court shall itself release the petitioner on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

31.08.2016
Neha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No