

CRM-M-29005-2016

Date of Decision : 22.12.2016

Dayal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

On the instructions of ASI Jugraj Singh, it has been informed that petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the application for pre-arrest bail contending that the petitioners are likely to terrorize and harass the complainant during the pendency of the trial.

Taking into consideration the totality of all the said circumstances, the application for pre-arrest bail is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioners, they shall be released on bail

to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the following conditions:

- (i) Petitioners will join investigation as and when required and will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner.
- (ii) Petitioners will not in any manner threaten, induce or pressurize any person acquainted with the facts of the case including the complainant.

In case of violation of any of the conditions mentioned above, the prosecution agency or the complainant may seek cancellation of bail granted to the petitioners.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

22.12.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No