

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28986 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.09.2016

Aman Bhatnagar

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.M.K.Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Abhinav Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.78 of 15.02.2013, for offences under Section 354-D, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Faridabad Kotwali, Faridabad, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 29.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

It was alleged by the complainant that petitioner was harassing the complainant by threatening that he will not let her marriage happen as the complainant is his property. Time and again petitioner was harassing the complainant by calling her and breaking the lock of her house. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.2-Geeta Grover, vide

compromise dated 29.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 22.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the learned JMIC, Faridabad has been received in this regard. As per report, respondent No.2/complainant-Geeta Grover and accused-petitioner appeared before the trial Court on 09.09.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of respondent No.2/complainant-Geeta Grover and accused-petitioner were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and respondent No.2/complainant-Geeta Grover has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against the accused/petitioner. Statements of accused-petitioner were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.78 of 15.02.2013, for offences under Section 354-D, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Faridabad Kotwali, Faridabad, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

30.09.2016
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>