

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-28928 of 2016
Date of decision : 31.08.2016**

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Nipun Vashisht, Advocate
for the complainant.**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This is the second application filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No.141 dated 30.05.2015, registered under Sections 498A, 323, 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on), Police Station Khol, District Rewari.

The State counsel states that the petitioner had completed the arguments and when the Court was not inclined to grant regular bail the counsel had sought permission to withdraw. He has placed on record the copy of the order. He further states that only four witnesses remain to be examined and the case before the trial Court is fixed for 17.09.2016.

I have heard counsels of both the sides.

Initially the case was registered under Section 323, 498-A, 34 IPC. The petitioner's wife was admitted in the hospital. She died few days later and Section 302 IPC was added. The counsel for the petitioner says that the death was on account of hypoxic brain damage.

The State counsel had submitted that the son has deposed against his own father (petitioner) and he had inflicted a injury on the head and later on the condition of Sushila became critical and she died.

Considering the allegations, no case for bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

31.08.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE