

CRM No.M-2889 of 2016

Date of Decision: January 27, 2016

Ropsy and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**Present:** Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Mr. Rishu Mahajan.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 18.01.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true typed copies of birth certificates of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure P-4.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 18.01.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 18.01.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and

assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 27, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE