

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-28787-2016 (O&M).
Decided on: November 9, 2016.

Rinku Kumar

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Ravinder Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.C.S.Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to interim order dated 22.8.2016, the petitioner has already put in appearance before the trial Court.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case. The bail bonds of the petitioner were cancelled on account of he having absented on 14.7.2016. Since there are a large number of accused involved in the present case, the absence of any accused on any date of hearing would prejudice the expeditious disposal of the trial.

Without expression of any opinion whether non-appearance of the petitioner was intentional with an objective to get the proceedings deferred, I am of the opinion that the petitioner having already put in appearance pursuant to order dated 22.8.2016, his liberty has to be protected.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner will remain on bail against the bail bonds furnished pursuant to the above said order subject to a condition that he will not absent himself, during the entire period of trial, without any sufficient cause and will not, in any manner, delay the proceedings by non-appearance.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

November 9, 2016.
rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No