

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.28771 of 2016 (O&M)

Smt. Aanchal Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

**2. CRM-M No.28800 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.12.2016**

Smt. Sarla Batra Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ajay Kumar Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned two petitions.

These two petitions have been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR

No.69/2016 dated 27.03.2016 registered under Sections 306/34 IPC at Police Station Rajpura City Punjab, District Patiala.

On 22.08.2016 the following order was passed :-

“Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Thind, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned A.A.G. during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 04.10.2016.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest of the petitioners they shall be released on interim bail by the investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. However, it is clarified that the petitioners shall be present before the investigating officer as and when his presence is required.

A photocopy of this order be placed on file of the connected case.”

As per the allegations the deceased committed suicide because the petitioners, who were his wife and her mother, were pressuring him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the marriage took place on 01.08.2015 and the deceased committed suicide

on 19.03.2016. As of now the petitioner-Aanchal in **CRM-M-28771 of 2016** has just given birth to a daughter. She has joined the investigation and is ready to face the trial. These facts have not been disputed.

Learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions from H.C. Jaswinder Pal accepts the fact that the petitioner(s) have joined the investigation and are no more required for custodial interrogation.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny anticipatory bail to the petitioner (s).

Resultantly, the petitions are allowed. The interim order dated 22.08.2016 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

20.12.2016

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No