

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28689-2016

Date of decision: October 17, 2016.

Manjit Singh alias Pardhan

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Karanjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Shailesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. In FIR No.74 dated 26.5.2016, under Sections 384, 420, 120-B IPC, PS Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran, the petitioner was arrested on 28.5.2016 and is in jail since then. Challan has been filed. This Court had granted bail in CRM-M- 24876-2016 to the accused persons in the aforesaid FIR. The counsel for the petitioner was asked to find out and place on record about any other offence against the petitioner. Today, the counsel for the petitioner has pointed out order dated 10.4.2015 made by Additional Sessions Judge, Moga and submitted that the applicant had borrowed money with a promise to return the same. The reasons given in the order are read. The offence in that case was of civil nature and that is why the petitioner was granted bail.

Be that as it may, in my opinion, taking over all view of the matter and in view of the fact that the petitioner is in jail since 28.5.2016

and challan having been filed, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody till the trial is completed. At any rate, since counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would file an undertaking within four weeks in this petition that the petitioner would not indulge in such like similar offence in the near future, in that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. Bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the trial Judge who shall be entitled to impose such other conditions as he may deem fit and proper.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

October 17, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No