

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28674-2016

Date of decision: September 27, 2016.

Paviter Singh and another

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri K.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Shailesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.18 dated 21.4.2016, registered under Sections 307, 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Jaurkian, District Mansa.

The petitioners are seeking regular bail. The petitioners were arrested on 1.5.2016 and are in custody since then. Admittedly, the charge sheet has been put up before the trial court. The petitioners are stated to have given injuries to the victim by means of dandas. Learned Counsel for the State states that both the legs of the victim were fractured and he is still taking treatment in the PGI.

In my opinion, looking to the entire material on record, the petitioner No.2 would be entitled to grant of bail. In so far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, there are two more cases pending against him, i.e., one under the NDPS Act and the another one for assaulting a public servant. I

think petitioner No.2 alone can be granted bail. Learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this petition for grant of bail qua petitioner No.1. Hence the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) CRM-M-28674-2016 is partly allowed;
- (ii) Petitioner No.2 shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Judge/CJM concerned;
- (iii) Petition for bail by petitioner No.1 is allowed to be withdrawn at this stage.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

September 27, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No