

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(265)

CRM-M-28666-2016

Date of Decision:-21.09.2016

Abhay Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.272 dated 03.12.2014 registered under Sections 148, 149, 341, 323, 325 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Now there is a motion for compounding/compromise of the offences by both the parties. I have seen the compromise deed (Annexure P-2) which shows that compromise has been arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons. Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate for the complainant submitted that indeed there is compromise between the parties and he has no objection for

compounding/compromise the offences between the parties in order to live in peace and harmony. Looking to the offences registered against the petitioners and in terms of the compromise, I think, parties can be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in question.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.272 dated 03.12.2014 registered under Sections 148, 149, 341, 323, 325 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising there from.

September 21, 2016

Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge****Whether speaking/reasoned****Yes/No****Whether reportable****Yes/No**