

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2862 of 2016**

**Date of decision: 29.03.2016**

**Paramjit Kaur & others**

**----Petitioner (s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab & another**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Imran Farooqui, Advocate for  
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S Nain, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2/complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.19, dated 02.02.2010, for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326 and 342 read with Section 34, IPC, registered at Police Station, Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala, and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 04.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 27.01.2016 had directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court on 11.02.2016 to get their

statements recorded with regard to compromise and the Court was directed to submit its detailed report alongwith the copies of the statements qua the genuiness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 27.01.2016, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has forwarded her report dated 12.02.2016 to the effect that the parties have willfully settled their differences and reached at a bona fide compromise without any pressure and the same is genuine.

Though, today no one is present on behalf of respondent No.2, but no prejudice would be caused to him, as he has already suffered a statement with regard to validity of the compromise before learned Magistrate on 11.02.2016. Statement of complainant-respondent No.2, namely, *Dalbir Singh* son of Gulzar Singh, reads as under:-

*"That the above noted case FIR No.19 of 02.02.2010 under Section 326/325/324/323/342/34 Indian Penal Code was registered on my statement against the accused Laddi son of Ranu Singh resident of village Massania, Tehsil Batala and Paramjit Kaur wife of Jagir Singh resident of village Chahal. Now with the intervention of the respectable the matter stand compromised and I have no grudge against the accused person. Now I have no objection if the present FIR is quashed. I have given this statement without any coercion, pressure and with my own free will."*

Apart from the statement of the complainant-respondent No.2-*Dalbir Singh*, whereby he has shown no objection to the FIR being quashed, there is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful

purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI-Vinod Kumar, submits that as far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, he has been found innocent during investigation. Therefore, challan has been presented only against petitioners No.1 & 3. He also admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)**, as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.19, dated 02.02.2010, for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326 and 342 read with Section 34, IPC, registered at Police Station, Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala, and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners, are quashed, in view of the compromise dated 04.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

**29.03.2016**  
**Anjal**

**[HARI PAL VERMA]**  
**JUDGE**