

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28572-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.08.2016

Sukhpal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Petitioners in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 to 9, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. At this stage, Mr. Suveer Sheokand, Advocate, assisted by respondent Nos.4 and 7 (in person), causes representation on behalf of the private respondents and states that they have accepted the marriage. Complete copies of the paper book have been furnished to learned counsel for the respondents, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant

ATUL SETHI
17.08.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 that in case the petitioners move an application before him for protection of their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to the petitioners, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

17.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No