

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28569 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 03.10.2016

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Punia, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.31 dated 29.03.2016, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') at Police Station Payal, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner had purchased the property in question for a consideration and without having the knowledge about alleged seller complainant-Amarjit Kaur. It was complainant's son, namely Gurjit Singh (since deceased), who produced some other lady in place of his mother and got executed the sale deed in favour of the petitioner and one Kamaljit Singh.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant state that petitioner in connivance with co-accused got executed the sale deed qua the property in question by impersonating Amarjeet Kaur.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the entire record on file.

There are serious allegations of impersonation and forgery. This Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth, to know the manner and *modus operandi* of the forgery committed and recover the documents. Keeping in view the role attributed to the petitioner and nature of offence, no case is made out for anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.10.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No