

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 28559 of 2016

Date of decision : October 25, 2016

Amandeep Singh @ Aman

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. SS Slar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir, DAG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.24, dated 1.3.2015, registered under Sections 302, 120-B, 34, 212 of the IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala.

As per allegations, the uncle of the petitioner had a dispute with the deceased-Manoj Kajla. When the deceased was going, they used an acquaintance to stop him on the road. At that time, as per allegations, first the petitioner tried to ram the deceased with the Bolero vehicle but the deceased was able to save himself. In that attempt, the

Bolero vehicle rammed into Esteem car of the other person (who had

stopped the deceased). Once the attempt to ram the deceased to death was unsuccessful then the uncle of the petitioner pumped three bullets into the deceased which resulted in his death.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody for more than one year and seven months and has been roped in only because of his relationship with the main accused.

Counsel for the complainant, as well as learned DAG Punjab have, however, argued that the vehicle used (i.e Bolero) was owned by the petitioner and he is guilty under Sections 120-B/34 of the IPC. Learned DAG Punjab on instructions from HC Surinder Singh has, however, accepted that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future as only two witnesses have been examined.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, as well as the nature of allegations against him, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

October 25, 2016
`kk'

CRM-M No. 28559 of 2016

::3::