

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28551-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.10.2016

Rahul Jaswal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Kuldeep Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.141 dated 02.05.2015, registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal code, at P.S. Civil Lines, Amritsar City.

Heard.

On 22.08.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Contends that only negligence has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner did not act in a mala fide or dishonest manner to defraud the complainant. The learned counsel refers to Annexures P-3 to P-5 to contend that the matter stands amicably settled.

Notice of motion for 21.10.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim

ATUL SETHI
20.10.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation. He further acknowledges the factum of the compromise having been arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.10.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No