

106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2852 of 2016
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 12 2016

DHIR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to protect the life and liberty of petitioner as well as his family members.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that time and again respondent No.4 is summoning the petitioner and his family members at the instance of respondents No.5 and 6 and extending threats to involve them in some criminal case.

3. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 4, only at this stage.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. As no representation has so far been made by the petitioner to respondent No.2 seeking protection, instant petition is treated as representation

and the same is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Amritsar, Punjab to look into the grievances of the petitioner unfolded by him in the instant petition and if found necessary, take appropriate measures in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

FEBRUARY 12, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE