

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-28503-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: August 19, 2016.

Phool Singh

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Deepak Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Respondent No.2 had been granted concession of pre-arrest bail on 8.7.2016, being a lady and she having joined investigation. The petitioner-complainant submits that on account of new circumstances which have come into existence after the arrest of husband of respondent No.2, the cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 is warranted.

The case of the prosecution is that land belonging to the complainant had been fraudulently got registered by Ram Niwas, husband of respondent No.2 in favour of respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioner- complainant has submitted that husband of respondent No.2 after his arrest, in police custody, has made a statement before the police that the original sale deed executed by impersonator of complainant is in possession of his wife. He further argues that for establishing the missing links, the arrest of respondent No.2 is necessary.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. The evidentiary value of the statement made by husband of respondent No.2, in police custody will certainly be an arguable issue. The pleas raised by the petitioner for seeking cancellation of bail are not good enough to cancel the pre-arrest bail granted to respondent No.2, as the liberty granted by this Court vide order Annexure P5, dated 8.7.2016, seems to have not been misused.

The petition is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 19, 2016.
rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No