

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28327 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.09.2016

Sampuran Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Karnail Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.186 dated 18.10.2015, registered under Sections 302, 307, 326, 324, 323 and 148 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Sadar, Patiala.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is not named in the present FIR and age of the petitioner is 90 years. No injury is attributed to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present bail application, however, she admits the age of the petitioner to be 90 years.

Heard.

Considering the extreme old age of the petitioner, without advertent to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

14.09.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No