

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-28317 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 9, 2016

Sunil and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners Sunil and Rajesh have filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.325 dated 25.10.2015 registered at Police Station Pundri, District Kaithal, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 452, 506, 325 and 307 of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the co-accused Pardeep has already been granted bail by this Court. Therefore, on the ground of parity the petitioners are also entitled for grant of bail. Secondly, as per prosecution version, both the petitioners are stated to be armed with *danda* each and petitioner-Sunil has given *danda* blow near the eye of the complainant-

Shamsher Singh and petitioner-Rajesh has given *lathi* blow to Subhash. Moreover, the petitioners are in custody since 03.12.2015. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

September 9, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No