

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28278-2016

Date of decision: August 16, 2016.

Gurpreet Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

Sohan Lal

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

In view of the short controversy involved in this petition, it is not necessary to issue notice to the other side.

The petitioner was released on bail in a private complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and thereafter, he was attending the court regularly. However, on 9.2.2016 and a few dates thereafter, he had not appeared before the trial court as a result of which NBWs have been issued against him. He having come to know about the NBWs, applied to the learned Additional Sessions Judge who rejected his prayer. Hence, this petition.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner, upon instructions from his client, states that the petitioner would hereinafter appear before the trial court with promptitude and would not make any default. In that view of the matter, I am inclined to make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) Petition is allowed;
- (ii) Petitioner to continue on bail during trial on the same bail bonds;
- (iii) NBWs are cancelled and quashed.
- (iv) Petitioner to appear before the trial Judge with promptitude hereinafter.

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

August 16, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No