

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(293)

CRM-M-28249-2016

Date of Decision:-20.10.2016

Malkeet Singh

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.16 dated 18.01.2015 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City 1 Abohar, District Fazilka, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the complainant state that indeed there is a compromise (Annexure P-2) has been arrived at between the parties. This Court vide order dated September 19, 2016 had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify that whether the same is genuine or not.

Now, there is a report received from District & Sessions Judge, Ferozepur, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded

and compromise that is arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in FIR and terms of the compromise deed (Annexure P-2), I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order that they live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.16 dated 18.01.2015 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City 1 Abohar, District Fazilka is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of .

October 20, 2016

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No