

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.27313 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 30.11.2016

Kanwal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Interstate Finance Ltd. Delhi and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Parveen Dutt, Advocate
for Mr. S.K. Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below rejecting the plea of the petitioner for summoning the respondents.

The petitioner had admittedly taken a loan through the finance company for financing his vehicle and apparently that vehicle was repossessed. Thereafter the petitioner filed an FIR complaining that the henchmen of the owners of the finance company (whom he otherwise did not know) had stopped him, given beatings to him, tied up his eyes and had forcibly taken away the jeep and made him unconscious and they had taken him to the office of the finance company in Delhi and thereafter he was

lodged the FIR. After investigation the police came to the conclusion that there was no ground to proceed against the accused persons and the case was false and consequently filed a cancellation report. The petitioner filed a protest petition which was treated as complaint and he was permitted to lead preliminary evidence. Both the Courts below came to the conclusion that the allegations were extremely vague and no details were given by the petitioner to prove his case and there was intrinsic infirmities in the version trotted out by the petitioner.

After having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner on two occasions, I find no reason to take a different view to that taken by the Courts below.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

November 30, 2016
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No