

Sr. No.222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M- 2821 of 2016
Date of Decision: 19.02.2016

Dinesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Doon, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would advert to the orders dated 29.07.2015 as also 17.09.2015 passed by this Court whereby two separate applications preferred by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking benefit of regular bail have been declined. Counsel would argue that in both the orders trial Court had been directed to make an endeavour to expedite the trial. Counsel states that in spite of such directions trial has not made any headway.

State counsel upon instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar would submit that out of 28 prosecution witnesses cited 25 have already been examined.

As such without going into the merits of the case, the present petition seeking benefit of regular bail is disposed of with a

direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial and to in any case conclude the same within a period of three months from today.

Disposed of.

19.02.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**