

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No. M-30075 of 2013(O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.02.2016

Veenu Goyal and another ..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for respondents

No. 4 to 7.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.8

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.93, dated 06.04.2013 under Sections 420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Division No.7 (Vardhman), Ludhiana (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Head Constable-Bhupinder Singh, submits that during pendency of the present petition, the police has investigated the matter and challan, as envisaged under Section 173 Cr.P.C., has been presented in the Court.

Faced with the aforesaid developments, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition, however, seeks liberty to raise all possible pleas at the time of framing of charge or at the subsequent stage thereto.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as aforesaid.

11.02.2016

Anjal

(*HARI PAL VERMA*)

JUDGE